23

24

PILED

ODGED

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2004

WESTERN OSTROCT OF WASHINGTON

RT

STON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

LEXISNEXIS COURTLINK, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

MICHAEL DUNN, an individual,

Defendant.

CV04-2532

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF



04-CV-02532-CMP

PARTIES^T

- 1. Plaintiff LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc. ("CourtLink") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 13427 NE 16th Street, Suite 100, Bellevue, Washington 98005. CourtLink is part of the LexisNexis Group of businesses managed from LexisNexis headquarters in Dayton, Ohio.
- 2. Defendant Michael Dunn ("Dunn") is an individual residing at 2151 Jamieson Avenue, Unit 1903, Alexandria, Virginia 22314.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 1

CORR CRONIN LLP

1001 Fourth Avenue, Suitc 3900 Scattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

ORIGINAL

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there is diversity of citizenship between CourtLink and Dunn, and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, is in excess of \$75,000. Dunn has misappropriated and, on information and belief, has disclosed and used confidential and proprietary information and trade secrets of CourtLink, which actions are causing CourtLink immediate irreparable harm. Disclosure and use of CourtLink's confidential and proprietary information and trade secrets will cause substantial harm to CourtLink in an amount that is difficult or impossible to quantify, but in no event less than \$75,000.
- 4. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to CourtLink's claims occurred in this judicial district. Dunn's misappropriation of confidential and proprietary information, and the conduct causing harm to CourtLink described below, took place in part in this District, and the harm to CourtLink from Dunn's disclosure and use of confidential and proprietary information and trade secrets arises, in substantial part, in this District.
- 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Dunn. Dunn's wrongful conduct that is the basis for the claims alleged in this complaint arose in part out of Dunn's transaction of business in Washington, and causing injury to CourtLink in Washington, in that, among other things, (1) Dunn was trained and paid by CourtLink in this judicial district; (2) Dunn obtained and is misusing trade secrets and other confidential secrets that are maintained by CourtLink on its computer system in Bellevue, Washington; and (3) in the course of his work, Dunn frequently traveled to and communicated with CourtLink's headquarters, and maintained an office, in this judicial district.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 2 CORR CRONIN LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

DUNN'S WRONGFUL ACTS

- 6. CourtLink is in the business of, among other services, providing electronic access to court records, including dockets and other documents, as well as providing electronic alerts and tracking and advanced searches of court documents. In December 2001, Dunn was hired by CourtLink with responsibility for sales and court development relating to electronic filling in state courts.
- 7. As a condition of his employment with CourtLink, Dunn signed a LexisNexis CourtLink Non-Compete/Non-Disclosure/Non-Solicitation Agreement (the "Agreement") in which he acknowledged that he would acquire trade secrets and confidential and proprietary information of CourtLink ("CourtLink Confidential Information") and agreed to certain nondisclosure obligations. A copy of the Agreement is attached as Exhibit A.
- 8. In addition to and separate from his obligations under the Agreement, Dunn owed a duty of loyalty to CourtLink as its employee.
- 9. Dunn also was and is required by the common law and by statute to preserve in confidence all proprietary and trade secret information that he learned during the course of his employment.
- 10. Among other things, Dunn had duties and responsibilities for sales and court development relating to products known as File and Serve and CourtLink. During his employment with CourtLink, Dunn did in fact learn and use substantial proprietary and trade secret information regarding CourtLink's products. While working for CourtLink, Dunn was CourtLink's primary (and often only) interface with CourtLink's customers and prospective customers.
- 11. The CourtLink Confidential Information obtained and used by Dunn during the course of his employment with CourtLink included but was not limited to product

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- Page 3 CORR CRONIN LLP

1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

information, sales data, business strategies and other proprietary information that could be used by competitors to the detriment of CourtLink.

- 12. The Confidential Information to which Dunn had access is known only to a small number of CourtLink employees. CourtLink takes measures to maintain the confidentiality of this information because electronic filing is a highly competitive marketplace, and CourtLink's Confidential Information derives economic value from being known only to CourtLink employees.
- 13. Under Section 1 of the Agreement, Dunn agreed, during and after his employment with CourtLink, to hold in strict confidence all CourtLink Confidential Information, and not to use either directly or indirectly CourtLink Confidential Information for any purpose. Dunn agreed, specifically and without limitation, not to reveal, report, publish, disclose or transfer any portion of CourtLink confidential information to any person or entity and not to utilize any CourtLink Confidential Information in connection with soliciting business from or providing services or products of any kind to any of CourtLink's customers or prospective customers.
- 14. Under Section 3 of the Agreement, Dunn agreed that, for a period of one year immediately following the termination of his employment with CourtLink, he would not become an agent or employee of any enterprise (including corporations, partnerships, proprietorships or other ventures) that competes with CourtLink's business as conducted during the period of his employment with CourtLink.
- 15. Under Section 3.3 of the Agreement, the territory in which Dunn agreed not to compete was defined to mean:
 - 3.3.1 a zone inside of a 100 mile radius of each of the counties or parishes in which my customer accounts are located or in which

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 4

- I performed services on behalf of LexisNexis Courtlink, directly or indirectly (whether for compensation or otherwise); or
- 3.3.2 a zone inside of a 100 mile radius of each of the counties or parishes in which LexisNexis Courtlink has officers or customers; or
- 3.3.3 any state of the United States and the District of Columbia (including all territories, possessions and protectorates) in which LexisNexis Courtlink does business or has customers, distributors, supplies or licensors; or
- 3.3.4 any foreign country in which LexisNexis Courtlink does business, either directly or through agent or distributors, or has customers, distributors, suppliers or licensors."
- 16. As of November 2004, Dunn was employed as Director of Court Development by CourtLink. His sales territory encompassed the entire United States.
- 17. In approximately October, 2004, Dunn informed CourtLink that he intended to leave CourtLink's employment in November 2004. Dunn originally told at least one of his supervisors that he had accepted a position with Exxon, a company that does not compete with CourtLink.
- 18. Dunn subsequently admitted to CourtLink that he had not been "forthright" and in reality he was going to work for BearingPoint, Inc. ("BearingPoint"). BearingPoint is a direct competitor of CourtLink in the electronic filing business. Dunn would not tell CourtLink what position he was accepting with BearingPoint, but he represented in meetings at CourtLink's Bellevue headquarters that he would be working exclusively on a project unrelated to electronic filing. Dunn sent an e-mail to his supervisor, Michael Gersch, stating that "I feel badly that I was not more forthright with respect to naming the company that I am going to work for at the time I submitted my oral notice of resignation," and that he was

Fax (206) 625-0900

23

24

"sorry if I was less than honest with respect to my employment opportunity with BearingPoint." A copy of this email is attached as Exhibit B.

- 19. CourtLink took Dunn at his word when he told them that he would not be involved in BearingPoint's electronic filing business. CourtLink offered to waive enforcement of the Agreement in this instance to the extent that it prohibited Dunn from accepting employment with CourtLink's competitor BearingPoint, if Dunn would affirm in writing that he would not be involved in BearingPoint's electronic filing business. Dunn failed or refused to provide such written assurance.
- 20. In fact, Dunn has been involved in BearingPoint's electronic filing business. Both CourtLink and BearingPoint sent representatives to a conference entitled "eCourts", which was sponsored by the National Conference of State Courts. The conference was held in Las Vegas, Nevada, from December 13-15, 2004. Dunn attended the conference as part of BearingPoint's sales team. When Dunn met Robin Castro, a CourtLink employee, at the conference, Dunn said "You don't see me here."
- 21. At the conference, Dunn was observed working in BearingPoint's sales booth.

 He also was seen on multiple occasions soliciting CourtLink customers and prospective customers for whom he had been a main point of contact while employed by CourtLink.
- 22. Through his position with CourtLink, Dunn developed relationships with many of CourtLink's key customers and prospective customers. Dunn also gained intimate knowledge of CourtLink's Confidential Information and trade secrets. Because of these facts, Dunn is in a unique position to compete against CourtLink using confidential information only known to CourtLink employees.
- 23. CourtLink, in contrast, is at a competitive disadvantage because it does not have an employee or employees that can immediately fill the role played by Dunn. CourtLink

24

will have to train an employee to take Dunn's former position, and that employee will need substantial time to develop the expertise that Dunn gained while working for CourtLink.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Enforcement of Agreement)

- 24. CourtLink incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-23 as if fully restated.
- 25. Dunn breached Section 1 of the Agreement by, among other actions, using CourtLink Confidential Information to solicit CourtLink's customers and prospective customers.
- 26. In Section 4 of the Agreement, Dunn acknowledged that the CourtLink Confidential Information that he obtained through the course of his employment is unique, irreplaceable, and valuable and that it is extremely difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation that would afford adequate relief to CourtLink for Dunn's breach of the provisions of Section 1 of the Agreement.
- 27. Dunn further agreed in Section 4 of the Agreement that CourtLink shall be entitled to equitable remedies, including without limitation, specific performance and preliminary or other injunctive relief, to enforce the Agreement.
- 28. Unless enjoined, Dunn will continue to violate his covenant not to compete in Section 3 of the Agreement through his employment by BearingPoint.
- 29. As a result of his employment with CourtLink, Dunn has detailed and comprehensive knowledge of CourtLink Confidential Information and trade secrets.

 Employment of Dunn by BearingPoint or any other direct competitor of CourtLink will result in the inevitable disclosure and use of CourtLink's trade secrets to competitors and cause immediate and irreparable harm to CourtLink.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 7 CORR CRONIN LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

30. CourtLink has no adequate remedy at law. CourtLink is entitled to injunctive relief to restrain and enjoin the misappropriation of its valuable proprietary and confidential trade secrets and information misappropriated by Dunn in violation of the Agreement.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Misappropriation of Trade Secrets)

- 31. CourtLink incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1-30 as if fully restated.
- 32. The Confidential Information to which Dunn had access during his employment with CourtLink contains trade secrets protected by the Ohio Trade Secrets Act, Ohio Rev. Code §§ 1333.61-.69.
- 33. The Confidential Information of CourtLink derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- 34. CourtLink has taken steps reasonable under the circumstances to maintain the secrecy of its Confidential Information.
- 35. Dunn misappropriated these trade secrets by taking or copying them, using them without permission and distributing or threatening to distribute them to third parties, in violation of the Ohio Trade Secrets Act.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Dunn's actions have been willful and malicious and done with the specific intent to harm CourtLink.
- 37. Dunn's conduct has caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to CourtLink, for which it has no adequate remedy at law.

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF -- Page 8

38. Unless Dunn is enjoined from continuing his wrongful acts, the harm to CourtLink will continue.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, CourtLink demands judgment in its favor and against Dunn as follows:

- (1) granting a temporary, preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining

 Dunn, his agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and any
 other individual or entity within his control or supervision, and all other
 persons or entities in active concert or participation with him, from any
 of the following conduct:
 - (a) using or disclosing, directly or indirectly, any CourtLinkConfidential Information or trade secrets;
 - (b) retaining directly or indirectly, and regardless of the form, any
 CourtLink Confidential Information or trade secrets; or
 - (c) being employed directly or indirectly by any enterprise that competes with CourtLink's business as conducted by it during the period of Dunn's employment with CourtLink in the United States;
- (2) ordering the immediate return to CourtLink of its confidential and proprietary information;
- (3) awarding CourtLink its costs;
- (4) awarding to CourtLink its attorneys' fees pursuant to Ohio Rev. Code§ 1333.64; and

VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR TEMPORARY, PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 9

CORR CRONIN LLP 1001 Fourth Avenue, Suite 3900 Seattle, Washington 98154-1051 Tel (206) 625-8600 Fax (206) 625-0900

1 (5) awarding to CourtLink such other and further relief as this Court deems 2 just and appropriate. 3 DATED this 23 day of December, 2004. 4 5 CORR CRONIN LLP 6 7 Kelly P. Corr, WSBA No. 555 8 Michael A. Moore, WSBA No. 27047 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 OF COUNSEL: 10 Charles J. Faruki 11 Thomas R. Kraemer Donald E. Burton 12 Erin E. Stefanec 13 FARUKI IRELAND & COX P.L.L. 500 Courthouse Plaza, S.W. 14 10 North Ludiow Street Dayton, OH 45402 15 Telephone: (937) 227-3705 Telecopier: (937) 227-3717 16 E-mail: cfaruki@ficlaw.com 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

VER	IFICA	MOITA

STATE OF WASHINGTON) }
COUNTY OF KING) SS:)

Robin Castro, being first sworn upon oath, states:

- 1. I am Vice President of LexisNexis CourtLink, Inc., and have held that position for 2 ½ years.
- 2. Except for those allegations made on information and belief, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Verified Complaint. To the best of my knowledge, the facts alleged in this Verified Complaint are true.

Robin Castro

Sworn to before me and substitution of the said Robin Castro, this

21 day of December, 2004.

145997.1

EXHIBIT A

LEXISNEXIS COURTLINK NON-COMPETE/NON-DISCLOSURE/NON-SOLICITATION AGREEMENT (Not for use in California)

In consideration of (i) my original amployment or continued amployment by Lexishexis Countlink, Inc. Including any of its subsidiaries or affiliated companies, and any of its or their successors or essigns, (hereinalter collectively referred to as "Lexis Nexis Courtlink"), and (ii) the compensation paid me during my employment by LexisNexis Courtlink, I, the undersigned, agree as follows:

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND TRADE SECRETS

Lacknowledge that the business of Lexistexis Countink involves valuable, confidential and proprietery data, information and trade secrets of various 1.1 kinds that I will have access to during my employment with LexisNexis Countlink, which is called "LexisNexis Countlink Confidential Information" and is

defined below.

"LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential Information" means any information, data or other materials of LexisNexis Courtlink or of any individual or entity in the possession of LexisNexis Courtlink that is (i) proprietary or confidential to LexisNexis Courtlink or designated as LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential 1,2 the possession or LexisNexis Courtink, this is (i) prophetary or confidential to LexisNexis Courtink or designated as LexisNexis Courtink Confidential Information by LexisNexis Courtink, (ii) not generally known by non-LexisNexis Courtink parsonnel, and (iii) acquired by, disclosed to or known by me as a result of or through my relationship with LexisNexis Courtink (including information conceived, originated, discovered or developed in whole or in part by me). LexisNexis Courtink Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, the following types of information and other information of a similar nature (whether or not reduced to writing):

any and all information relating to or otherwise concerning LexisNexis Courtlink's customers and prospective customers, suppliers and 1.2.1 licensors including, the identities thereof, the nature of LexisNexis Courtlink's relationships with its customers, suppliers and idensors, the business information of LexisNexis Courtlink's customers and prospective customers and potential customers and the types and amount of

products acquired by customers from LexisNexis Courtlink;

sales, marketing and product development plans, marketing techniques, price lists, pricing policies, market forecasts and sales volume; LexisNexis Courtink's various proprietary computer systems (including software) and proprietary rights therein including, without limitation, 1.2.2 1.2.3 proprietary computer and related equipment, computer programs (whether identified as software, firmware or other and on whatever media), object code, source code, documentation, manuals, data, proprietary hardware and software support systems, and methods, techniques and agonithms or organizing of applying the same;

developments, improvements, inventions, ideas, processes, procedures, discovaries, concepts, designs, drawings, specifications, molds, 1.2.4

date, and "know how":

1.2.5

nets, and bickernow.

Inancial information, including, without limitation, sales and revenus information and financial statements;

product or service information, including, without limitation, product and data file designs and specifications, product development plans, 1.2.8

product strategy and product delivery systems:

any information, not generally known, concerning LexisNexis Courtlink or its operations, products, personnel. finances, business 1.2.7 religitionships with third parties or businesses, which, if used or disclosed, could adversely affect the business of Lexis Nexis Courtlink, or give a competitor an advantage over those without access to such information; and

any information described above which Lexishexis Courtlink obtained or obtains from any individual or entity and which such individual or entity treats as proprietary or which is designated as LexisNexis Countlink Conflictential Information of which is designated with a legend 1.2.8 indigating that it is confidential or proprietary, whether or not owned or developed by Lexis Nexts Courtlink.

INFORMATION PUBLICLY KNOWN THAT IS GENERALLY EMPLOYED IN THE INDUSTRY AT OR AFTER THE TIME I FIRST LEARN OF SUCH INFORMATION, OR GENERIC INFORMATION OR KNOWLEDGE WHICH I WOULD HAVE LEARNED IN THE COURSE OF SIMILAR EMPLOYMENT OR WORK ELSEWHERE IN THE TRADE, SHALL NOT BE DEEMED LEXISNORIS COURTINK CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION.

- During and after my employment with LexisNexis Courtlink, and except to the extent required during the course of my employment with LexisNexis 1.3 Courtink, I will hold in strict confidence all LexisNexis Courtink Confidential Information and I will not use, either directly or indirectly, LexisNexis Courtink Confidential Information for any purpose, and specifically without limiting the generality of the foregoing, I will not:

 1.3.1 reveal, report, publish, disclose or transfer any portion of LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential Information to any person or entity;

 1.3.2 assist any person or entity other than LexisNexis Courtlink to secure any benefit from any LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential Information;

 1.3.3 utilize any LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential Information in connection with soliciting business from or providing services or products of any

type to any of LexisNexis Countink's customers or prospective customers; by the state of the providing several section of the providing several severa 1.3.4

2.

Bacquise of and in consideration of (i) the extensive knowledge of LexisNexis Countlink Confiderate information provided to and possessed by me, bacquipe in an an excussion of (i) the executive drowning of texts of the continuous of the execution of the performance, and (iv) me nignty competitive interests Counting to business, I will not, during the period business of Lexishaxia Counting to competitive in the period of twelve (12) months immediately following the termination of my employment with Lexishaxia Counting (for any reason or for no reason whatsoever), either directly or indirectly, solicit or attempt to solicit, interiers with, entitle away from Lexishaxia Counting or adversely affect Lexishaxia Counting's relationship with any customer, licensee, licensor, distributor, scurpe of supply, independent contractor or amployes of Lexishaxia Counting (whether actual or prospective). In addition, I will not, during the twelve (12) month period described above, authorize or knowingly condone or assist any individual or entity in taking any actions that I am prohibited from taking described above.

Because of and in consideration of my employment and the items described in Paragraph 2(i)-(iv) above, during my employment with LexisNexts Courtlink and for a period of one (1) year immediately following the termination of my employment with LexisNexts Courtlink (for any reason or for no reason whateveryr) I will not in the Territory (defined below);

own, manage, operate, join, control or participate in the ownership, management, operation or control of, or furnish any capital to or be connected in 3.1.1 any manner (whether eighe or as a partner, officer, director, employee, agent or shareholder) with, or provide any advice or services as a consultant for i) Thomson Corporation (Legal, Yax, Public Records and Intellectual Property), Wolters Kluwer (Legal Publishing), or any of their parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, partnerships and successors of these companies; or ii) any enterprise (including, without limitation any corporation, partnership, proprietorship or other venture) which competes with Lexistrexis Countlink's business as conducted during the period of my employment with LexisNexis Courtlink; or

become an agent or amployee of ly Thomson Corporation (Legal, Tax, Public Records and Intellectual Property), Wolters Kluwer (Legal Publishing), or any of their parents, efficients, subsidiaries, partnerships and auccessors of these companies; or ii) any enterprise (including corporations, partnerships, proprietorships or other ventures) which competes with Legal Routine's business as conducted during the period of my 3.1.2

employment with LexisNexts Courtlink.

I understand that nothing contained in this Agreement shell be deemed to prevent me from (i) purchasing or owning, directly or beneficially, as a passive investment, less than five parcent (5%) of any class of the publicly traded securities of any corporation, or (ii) accepting employment with a 3.2 competing enterprise whose business is diversified and where the part of its business in which i am to become employed does not compete with LexisNexis Courting's business, so long as LexisNexis Courting, prior to me accepting such employment, receives separate written assurances satisfactory to LexisNexis Courting, in the sole exercise of its discretion, from the competing enterprise and me that I will not render services in an erea

As used herein, the term "competes with LexisNexis Courtlink's business" means any business whose efforts are in competition with the efforts of 3.3 LexisNexis Courtlink , which includes any business whose efforts involve any research and development, products or services in competition with the products or services which are, during and at the end of my employment, alther (a) produced, marketed or otherwise commercially exploited by the Company or b) in actual or demonstrably anticipated negetich or development by the Company.

The term "Territory" means any of the following to the extent applicable:

- a zone inside of a 100 mile radius of each of the counties or parishes in which my customer accounts are located or in which I performed services on behalf of LexisNexis Countink, directly or indirectly (whether for compensation or otherwise); or 3.3.1
- a zone inside of a 100 mile radius of each of the counties or parishes in which Lexis Nexts Countlink has offices or customers; or 3.3.2
- any state of the United States and the District of Columbia (including all territories, possessions and protectorates) in which LexisNexis Countink does 3.3.3 business of has customers, distributors; suppliers or licensors; or
- any foreign country in which LexisNexts Countink does business, either directly or through agents or distributors, or has customers, distributors, 3.34 suppliers or licensors.

Bacause, during my employment with LexisNexis Countlink, I will gain unique expertise and experience in the field of LexisNexis Countlink's business and the time and expense incurred by Lexis Nexts Courtlink in training me and supporting me, acknowledge and agree that the services to be performed hereunder by me are of a special, unique, unusual, extraordinary or intellectual character which are of peculiar value, the loss of which cannot be reasonably or adequately compensated in damages. I also acknowledge and agree that Lexis Nexis Courtlink Confidential Information is unique, treplaceable and valuable. Therefore, it would be extremely difficult to ascertain the amount of compensation which would afferd adequate relief to LexisNexis Courtank for the breach by me of any of the provisions of Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 hereof, and, accordingly, I acknowledge and agree that LexisNexis Courtink shall be entitled to equitable remedies including, without limitation, specific performance and injunctive relief (preliminary of otherwise) to enforce the above-described provisions of this Agreement.

CONSTRUCTION

This Agreement and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the internal laws of the State of Ohio.

SEVERABILITY 6

The restrictive covenants contained in this Agreement relating to LexisNexis Courtlink Confidential Information and my non-competition and nonsolicitation obligations are independent of each other and each of the remaining provisions of this Agreement. If any provision of this Agreement shall be determined, under epplicable law, to be unlawful, void, or, for any reason, unenforceable, such provision shall be narrowed end/or interpreted so as to operate only to the broadest extent permitted by applicable law, and any provisions which cannot be so limited or interpreted shall be desired stricken from, and shall in no way affect the validity or enforceability of, the remaining provisions of this Agreement. Without limiting the foregoing, to the extent any provision of this Agreement shall be determined, under applicable law, to be overly broad in duration, geographical coverage or substantive scope, such provision shall be deemed narrowed to the proclast extent permitted by applicable text.

7.

A waiver by LexisNexis Courtlink of any provision of this Agreement or with respect to a similar agreement with any employee of LexisNexis Courtlink shall not operate or be understood as a waiver of any subsequent breach of the same provision of this Agreement by rite. Waivers, if any, to be effectively asserted against LexisNexis Courtlink must be in writing and eigned by an officer of LexisNexis Courtlink.

ENTIRE AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT

8. 6.1 Exchowledge and agree that this Agreement represents the untire agreement and understanding between the parties hereto regarding my employment with LexisNexis Courtink and supersodes any and all previous written or oral agreements or discussions between the parties and any other parson or legal antity.

82 I further admissible and agree that nothing set forth in this Agreement or in any LexisNexis Courtlink guidalines, policies, rules, regulations or procedures relating to my employment with Lexis/Nexis Courtink, including those relating to any termination or prievance procedures, nor any course of conduct by Lexis/Nexis Courtink (nor any sepect thereof) in this regard, shall be construed to confer any right or expectation with respect to my original and continued employment by Lexis/Nexis Courtlink, nor shall kinterfere in any way with Lexis/Nexis Courtlink's right to terminate my employment at any time, with or without cause, for any reason or for no reason at elf.

This Agreement shall not be modified, amended, or in any way altered except by an instrument in writing, signed by both of the parties hereto.

8.3

9. REPRESENTATIONS REGARDING PRIOR CONTRACTS

I represent and warrant that I have not entered into any prior contract or agreement of any kind that will be violated or that will interfere in any manner with the complete performance of my duties in connection with my employment with LexisNexis Courtlink.

10. SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

I acknowledge and agree that the rights and obligations of Lexishlesis Courtlink and me under this Agreement shall inlare to the benefit of and shall be binding upon the successors and assigns of the porties. Without limiting the foregoing, this Agreement and my rights and obligations hereunder shall remain in full force and effect in the event i am transferred to an "affiliate" of LexisNexis Courtlink, in which case all references herein to LexisNexis Courtlink shall be deemed references to such affiliated entity as well.

IN WUTHESS WHEREOF, I have executed this Agreement as of the date written below.

(Signature)

(Print Name)

EXHIBIT B

From: Dunn, Michael (LNG-HBE)

Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2004 12:22 PM

To: Gersch, Michael (LNG-SEA)

Subject: resignation

Michael,

I just wanted to let you know that I have been thinking about things and I feel badly that I was not more forthright with respect to naming the company that I am going to work for at the time that I submitted my oral notice of resignation. To be honest, it is very difficult for me to leave LexisNexis/Courtink after all of these years and I was afraid that you would try to convince me to stay, particularly if you knew where I intended to go.

Please understand that my decision to leave LexisNexis/CourtLink is due, in large part, to financial reasons. I will need to help support my mother (due to her illness and age) and Bearing Point has made me an offer that is in line with these financial needs. In addition, the position is likely to be challenging and more aligned with my long-term career goals.

When I gave my resignation, I felt it was my duty to give a four week notice to help you and department transition. I plan to honor it and will be in Seattle the next two weeks (Nov 3rd - Nov 12th), with November 12th being my last day. I'll work with Marie, David and Mary to smoothly transition all knowledge and relationships. I extended an offer to each of them to call or email me anytime in the future with questions.

While I certainly did not intend to do so, I am sorry if I was less than honest with respect to my employment opportunity with Bearing Point. I value our relationship and apologize for any misunderstandings. Please feel free to call me if you would like to discuss this further.

Have a great day.

Michael Dunn | Director | LexisNexis CourtLink | Washington DC Mobile +1 703,587,4740 | Direct +1 703,567,9274